



This monograph was prepared by The Ottawa Integrative Cancer Centre (OICC), in collaboration with the Complementary Medicine Education and Outcomes (CAMEO) Research Program. It is part of a series of monographs being developed to share results of a review of the research evidence related to common therapies and products used within cancer patient care.

The following monograph is designed to summarize evidence-based research and does not advocate for or against the use of a particular therapy. Every effort is made to ensure the information included in this monograph is accurate at the time it is published.

Please note that this monograph does not include an exhaustive list of all potential adverse events; individuals may experience unique side effects. The information in this monograph should not be interpreted as medical advice nor should it replace the advice of a licensed health care provider. Prior to using a new therapy or product, always consult a licensed health care provider.

***For the safe use of natural health products, please consider the following:***

- Consult a licensed health care provider prior to using a natural health product and make a plan to monitor its effectiveness and any side effects. This is particularly important for pregnant or breast-feeding women and people with serious medical conditions.
  - To help prevent interactions with your prescribed medication, ensure your health care provider is aware of any drugs or natural health products you may be using. Make sure to note all natural health ingredients listed in compound products.
  - Read and follow all instructions on the product label.
- If purchasing natural health products in Canada, look for Health Canada approved products. Look for Natural Product Number (NPN) or Homeopathic Medicine Number (DIN-HM) on the label to identify licensed products. Avoid internet pharmacies, as the quality of products cannot be guaranteed and products might not be licensed for sale through Health Canada. For more information, visit <http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/dhp-mpps/prodnatur/about-apropos/cons-eng.php>

**Please note:** While the aim was to draw from the most extensive research, in some circumstances the information used was limited by the selection and caliber of available research studies. Full references are available in the corresponding full-length monographs found on the CAMEO website.

-----  
Disclaimer

The OICC, in collaboration with the CAMEO Research Program, has prepared this monograph, as part of a series of monograph development, to share results of a review of the research evidence related to common therapies and products used within cancer patient care. The following monograph is designed to provide evidence-based research and neither advocates for or against the use of a particular therapy. Every effort is made to ensure the information included in this monograph is accurate at the time it is published. Prior to using a new therapy or product, always consult a licensed health care provider. The information in this monograph should not be interpreted as medical advice nor should it replace the advice of a qualified health care provider.



---

## VITAMIN D

### Proper Name

*Vitamin D; vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol); vitamin D2 (ergocalciferol)*

### Common Name

*Vitamin D; “the sunshine vitamin”*

### Common Uses in Cancer Care

*Prevention of cancer development and recurrence;*

*Optimization of vitamin D status may improve cancer treatment outcomes and survival;*

*Treatment of musculoskeletal pain, especially secondary to aromatase inhibitors;*

*Prevention of bone density loss secondary to hormonal therapies.*

### Route of Administration

*Oral*

### Mechanism of Action

*The actions of vitamin D are quite complex, as the vitamin D receptor is present on most types of cells in the body. Vitamin D has anti-proliferative effects, immune modulating effects, and assists with calcium absorption and deposition in relation to bone health.*

### Clinical Evidence related to Prevention of Breast Cancer

*One of two large RCTs found that supplementation with 1100 IU vitamin D + 1400mg calcium daily over 4 years reduced risk of all cancer by 60%. Effects on individual cancer types were not evaluable (1). The second RCT, the Women’s Health Initiative found not significant effect from supplementation of a very small dose of vitamin D, 400 IU per day, which may be related to the low dosage used (2).*

*Six out of six case control studies assessing circulating 25(OH)D reported inverse associations between 25(OH)D and risk of breast cancer. There was a threshold for protective effects at 60 nmol/L or greater compared to <30 nmol/L (24 vs 12.5 ng/mL), as well as for 75 to 150 nmol/L compared to 50 nmol/L (30 to 60 vs 20 ng/mL)(3-8). Only 2 cohort studies assessed 25(OH)D and risk of breast cancer; both showed no impact on risk on development of breast cancer (9, 10).*

### Clinical Evidence related to Prevention of Breast Cancer Recurrence or Survival

*All three cohort studies assessing 25(OH)D and risk of recurrence or distant disease found an inverse association, meaning that vitamin D appeared to be protective against recurrence and distant disease(11-13). This effect was evident at vitamin D levels of 75 nmol/L or higher (30 ng/mL). Low vitamin D levels have also been associated with increasing oncotype score (14), and cytological atypia on screening biopsies and increased Ki-67 expression (15).*

### Clinical Evidence related to Effectiveness for Aromatase Inhibitor Induced Arthralgia

One cohort study found that supplementation achieving levels of 25(OH)D >100 nmol/L (40 ng/mL) reduced musculoskeletal symptoms associated with aromatase inhibitor therapy (16). A second study found similar associations with reduced musculoskeletal pain (17). Two uncontrolled trials and one RCT also demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation of 10,000 per day over a period of 4 months (18), or 50,000 IU per week for up to 16 weeks (19, 20) resulted in significant improvements in pain secondary to aromatase inhibitors. In addition, these dosing schedules were reported to be safe, with the only adverse events reported being hypercalcuria or hypercalcemia seen only in two patients who were diagnosed with primary hyperparathyroidism (18).

### Clinical Evidence related to Estrogenic Effects

Since vitamin D acts through the vitamin D receptor (VDR) present on cells throughout the body, it does not exert estrogenic effects through interactions with the estrogen receptor (ER).

### Adverse Events and Side Effects

High dose vitamin D appears to have a relatively good safety profile. Human trials have reported very few incidents of hypercalcemia or hypercalcuria (n=3) in several studies utilizing dosages of up to 10,000 IU per day or 50,000 IU per week over a period of 3-4 months (18-22). High dose vitamin D dosing should be accompanied by regular monitoring of 25(OH)D, calcium, and parathyroid hormone levels.

### Interactions with other Therapies, including Drugs and Natural Health Products

There is little data on potential interactions between vitamin D and chemotherapy drugs. Vitamin D may decrease arthralgia induced by aromatase inhibitors.

### Cautions and Contraindications

High dose vitamin D supplementation should not be initiated without proper assessment and monitoring. Vitamin D has been shown to be safe at dosages up to 4000 IU per day during pregnancy (23) and up to 6400 IU during lactation (24).

### Dosing, frequency and length of treatment

Dosing should be discussed with your healthcare provider. Although high dose vitamin D dosing schedules have been shown to be quite safe, doses greater than 4000-5000 IU per day should not be undertaken without an assessment of current vitamin D status, blood 25(OH)D. Vitamin D should be dosed to achieve levels greater than 75nmol/L, and up to 150 nmol/L.

According to a dosing schedule developed by Aloia (among non-cancer patients), vitamin D supplementation based on baseline 25(OH)D levels was able to achieve levels >75 nmol/L (30 ng/mL) after 18 weeks in all patients. The strategy was such that patients with levels 50-80 nmol/L (32 ng/mL) were given a dose of 2000 IU per day; while those with levels <50 nmol/L (20 ng/mL) were given 4000 IU per day; and dosages were adjusted at 8 weeks based on 25(OH)D levels at that time. (Aloia) It is possible that higher dosage may be superior for cancer patients, particularly those undergoing treatment

*with aromatase inhibitors, however this should be determined with the assistance of a healthcare provider.*

#### Disclaimer

The OICC, in collaboration with the CAMEO Research Program, has prepared this monograph, as part of a series of monograph development, to share results of a review of the research evidence related to common therapies and products used within cancer patient care. The following monograph is designed to provide evidence-based research and neither advocates for or against the use of a particular therapy. Every effort is made to ensure the information included in this monograph is accurate at the time it is published. Prior to using a new therapy or product, always consult a licensed health care provider. The information in this monograph should not be interpreted as medical advice nor should it replace the advice of a qualified health care provider.

---

#### References(An asterisk (\*) denotes open-access articles)

- \* 1. Lappe JM, Travers-Gustafson D, Davies KM, Recker RR, Heaney RP. Vitamin D and calcium supplementation reduces cancer risk: Results of a randomized trial. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2007 01 Jun;85(6):1586-91. PubMed PMID: 2007280794. English.
- \* 2. Chlebowski RT, Johnson KC, Kooperberg C, Pettinger M, Wactawski-Wende J, Rohan T, et al. Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and the risk of breast cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2008 Nov 19;100(22):1581-91. PubMed PMID: 19001601. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2673920. English.
3. Abbas S, Chang-Claude J, Linseisen J. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D and premenopausal breast cancer risk in a German case-control study. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2009 Jan 1;124(1):250-5. PubMed PMID: 18839430. English.
- \* 4. Abbas S, Linseisen J, Slinger T, Kropp S, Mutschelknauss EJ, Flesch-Janys D, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and risk of post-menopausal breast cancer--results of a large case-control study. *Carcinogenesis*. 2008 Jan;29(1):93-9. PubMed PMID: 17974532. English.
- \* 5. Colston KW, Lowe LC, Mansi JL, Campbell MJ. Vitamin D status and breast cancer risk. *Anticancer Research*. 2006 Jul-Aug;26(4A):2573-80. PubMed PMID: 16886666. English.
- \* 6. Crew KD, Gammon MD, Steck SE, Hershman DL, Cremers S, Dworakowski E, et al. Association between plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila Pa)*. 2009 Jun;2(6):598-604. PubMed PMID: 19470790. English.
7. Lowe LC, Guy M, Mansi JL, Peckitt C, Bliss J, Wilson RG, et al. Plasma 25-hydroxy vitamin D concentrations, vitamin D receptor genotype and breast cancer risk in a UK Caucasian population. *European Journal of Cancer*. 2005 May;41(8):1164-9. PubMed PMID: 15911240. English.
- \* 8. Yao S, Sucheston LE, Millen AE, Johnson CS, Trump DL, Nesline MK, et al. Pretreatment serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and breast cancer prognostic characteristics: A case-control and a case-series study. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*. 2011;6(2). PubMed PMID: 2011125305. English.
- \* 9. Freedman DM, Looker AC, Abnet CC, Linet MS, Graubard BI. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and cancer mortality in the NHANES III study (1988-2006). *Cancer Research*. 2010 01 Nov;70(21):8587-97. PubMed PMID: 2010634557. English.
- \* 10. Freedman DM, Chang S-C, Falk RT, Purdue MP, Huang W-Y, McCarty CA, et al. Serum levels of vitamin D metabolites and breast cancer risk in the prostate, lung, colorectal, and ovarian cancer screening trial. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2008 Apr;17(4):889-94. PubMed PMID: 18381472. English.
- \* 11. Kim HJ, Lee YM, Ko BS, Lee JW, Yu JH, Son BH, et al. Vitamin D deficiency is correlated with poor outcomes in patients with luminal-type breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2011;18(7):1830-6.
- \* 12. Vrieling A, Hein R, Abbas S, Schneeweiss A, Flesch-Janys D, Chang-Claude J. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and postmenopausal breast cancer survival: A prospective patient cohort study. *Breast Cancer Research*. 2011;13(4):Article R74.
13. Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI, Koo J, Hood N. Prognostic effects of 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in early breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2009 Aug 10;27(23):3757-63. PubMed PMID: 19451439. English.
14. Rickles A, Peppone L, Huston A, Piazza K, Skinner K. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and prognostic tumor characteristics in breast cancer patients. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*. 2011;18:S159.
15. Fabian CJ, Kimler BF, Phillips T, Zalles CM. Levels of 25-hydroxy-vitamin D in pre-menopausal women at high risk for development of breast cancer. *Cancer Research Conference: 31st Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium San Antonio, TX United States Conference Start*. 2009;69(2 Suppl. S). PubMed PMID: 70108245. English.

16. Prieto-Alhambra D, Javaid MK, Servitja S, Arden NK, Martinez-Garcia M, Diez-Perez A, et al. Vitamin D threshold to prevent aromatase inhibitor-induced arthralgia: a prospective cohort study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2011 Feb;125(3):869-78. PubMed PMID: 20665105. English.
- \* 17. Waltman NL, Ott CD, Twiss JJ, Gross GJ, Lindsey AM. Vitamin D insufficiency and musculoskeletal symptoms in breast cancer survivors on aromatase inhibitor therapy. *Cancer Nursing.* 2009 Mar-Apr;32(2):143-50. PubMed PMID: 19125120. Pubmed Central PMCID: NIHMS100179 PMC2671887. English.
- \* 18. Amir E, Simmons CE, Freedman OC, Dranitsaris G, Cole DEC, Vieth R, et al. A phase 2 trial exploring the effects of high-dose (10,000 IU/day) vitamin D(3) in breast cancer patients with bone metastases. *Cancer.* 2010 Jan 15;116(2):284-91. PubMed PMID: 19918922. English.
19. Rastelli AL, Taylor ME, Gao F, Armamento-Villareal R, Jamalabadi-Majidi S, Napoli N, et al. Vitamin D and aromatase inhibitor-induced musculoskeletal symptoms (AIMSS): A phase II, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment.* 2011;129(1):107-16.
20. Khan QJ, Reddy PS, Kimler BF, Sharma P, Baxa SE, O'Dea AP, et al. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels, joint pain, and fatigue in women starting adjuvant letrozole treatment for breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2010 Jan;119(1):111-8. PubMed PMID: 19655244. English.
21. Campbell J, Hershman D, Maurer M, Kalinsky K, Feldman S. Safety of high-dose vitamin D supplementation in women at high risk for breast cancer. *Journal of the Society for Integrative Oncology.* 2010 Fall;8(4):161-2.
22. Peppone LJ, Huston AJ, Reid ME, Rosier RN, Zakharia Y, Trump DL, et al. The effect of various vitamin D supplementation regimens in breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2011;127(1):171-7.
- \* 23. Hollis BW, Johnson D, Hulse TC, Ebeling M, Wagner CL. Vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy: double-blind, randomized clinical trial of safety and effectiveness. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2011 Oct;26(10):2341-57. PubMed PMID: 21706518. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3183324.
24. Wagner CL, Hulse TC, Fanning D, Ebeling M, Hollis BW. High-dose vitamin D3 supplementation in a cohort of breastfeeding mothers and their infants: a 6-month follow-up pilot study. *Breastfeeding medicine : the official journal of the Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine.* 2006 Summer;1(2):59-70. PubMed PMID: 17661565.
- 

**Funding for this project was provided by the Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine**

